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NIMH: Clinical Challenges to New Treatments

- (1) Clinical trials approaches
- (2) Clinical phenotypes
- (3) Messaging
The standard approach to drug development has not worked

1. Hypothesize a link between a mechanism and a disorder (schizophrenia, depression)
2. Propose a compound that might impact the mechanism, & test in pre-clinical models
3. Design a trial in humans: estimated dosing, small sample size, efficacy as primary outcome
4. Negative results are typically uninterpretable:
   5. Small N; wrong dose; wrong patient population; wrong hypothesis? ~ no way to know
   6. Positive results are misleading, often fail to replicate
   7. Phase II failure = $2-4M and 5 years lost
New treatments in the pipeline are rare


NIMH Priority: Experimental Medicine, Fast-Fail Trials

Fast-Fail: Learn *why* a proof-of-concept trial failed, to move forward in a systematic way

- Move rapidly into humans
- Focus on Phases 0 – 2a, and on mechanisms of action
- First step: demonstrate target engagement and mechanisms rather than efficacy: intervention as a probe
- “Wins”: relationship of target engagement to early signs of efficacy
Test a hypothesis about the treatment’s mechanism of action:

1. Show that the treatment reaches the target, establish optimal dose (e.g., receptor occupancy, # of sessions)

2. Show that the treatment causes a change in relevant brain activity or mental process in the hypothesized direction (mechanism of action)

3. Correlate change in mechanism with change in clinical signal (proof of concept)
2. Challenges with clinical phenotypes

- Problems with symptom-based (DSM, ICD) systems for contemporary research
- Disorders are broad syndromes; heterogeneity, co-morbidity
- Not specific disease entities: but, have become reified
- Almost all disorders are dimensional in severity
- **Problem:** Diagnostic categories drive the entire research system (research grants, journals, trials, regulatory agencies)
### NIMH Intervention Development Pipeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discovery Science</th>
<th>Clinical Development</th>
<th>Public Health Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNBBS</td>
<td>DDTR/DATR</td>
<td>DSIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novel Targets</td>
<td>Efficacy Signals</td>
<td>Suicide Early Mortality in SMI, SMI Disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Mechanisms</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Effectiveness, Implementation, Quality Assurance, Societal Value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Basic Mechanisms align poorly with diagnoses: Target Problem**
Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) Framework: Four Components

- Neurodevelopment
- Domains
- Units of Analysis
- Environment
RDoC Matrix: Integrative Framework
Domains/Constructs X Units of Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOMAINS/CONSTRUCTS</th>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Molecules</th>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>Circuits</th>
<th>Physiology</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Self-Reports</th>
<th>Paradigms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Negative Valence Systems
- Acute threat (“fear”)
- Potential threat (“anxiety”)
- Sustained threat
- Loss
- Frustrative nonreward

- **Symptoms**
  - Altered Stress Reactivity
  - Emotion regulation problems

### Positive Valence Systems
- Approach motivation
- Initial responsiveness to reward
- Sustained responsiveness to reward
- Reward learning
- Habit

- **Symptoms**
  - Lack of pleasure in usual activities
  - Lack of energy for productive tasks

### Cognitive Systems
- Attention
- Perception
- Working memory
- Declarative memory
- Language behavior
- Cognitive (effortful) control

- **Symptoms**
  - Language delays
  - Executive function problems

### Systems for Social Processes
- Affiliation/attachment
- Social Communication
- Perception/Understanding of Self
- Perception/Understanding of Others

- **Symptoms**
  - Social withdrawal
  - Poor relationships

### Arousal/Modulatory Systems
- Arousal
- Biological rhythms
- Sleep-wake

- **Symptoms**
  - Problems with arousal-modulating systems
  - Sleep problems
Constructs: Intersection of “observable behavior and neurobiological measures”
Where does RDoC fit into clinical trials?

RDoC considerations for early phase clinical trials:

1. Focus on a novel mechanism relevant to a clinical problem regardless of DSM diagnosis (e.g., anhedonia, working memory)

2. Enroll patients based on deficits in the mechanism, not DSM diagnosis

3. Both the experimental medicine paradigm and RDoC require trial outcomes that reflect the target mechanism
• AZD6765 (lanicemine, NMDAR antagonist) as Proof-of-Concept to reduce hyperarousal in post-traumatic stress

• Enrollment criteria: (1) severe PTSD symptoms (may not be PTSD dx), (2) high anxiety-potentiated startle (APS) on NPU test (Neutral, Predictable, Unpredictable contexts)

• Target engagement: $\Delta$ in $\gamma$-band EEG following drug

• Reduction in APS from visit 1 to 3 = Go/no-go decision for larger trial examining clinical benefit
Similar approaches for other areas

“It is time for a neurological RDoC (Rowe, Brain, 138, 2015)

• Parkinson’s, ALS-frontotemporal dementia, Alzheimer’s

• “… we would also encourage investigators to discuss their findings within the framework of the NIMH’s Research Domain Criteria initiative…” (21, 2015)

• NIAAA (alcoholism): “AA-RDoC” (focus on outcome measures for clinical trials) (Litten, … & Koob, Alcoholism: Clin & Exp Res, 2015)
Fundamental regulatory challenge to endorsing an alternative to DSM classification of psychiatric illness

- Need to provide a rationale for alternative approach
- True whether
  - Phenomenological domain
  - Biomarker-defined subgroup
  - RDoC construct
- Key regulatory issue: Pseudo-Specificity

Regulatory agencies initial rejection of claim as “pseudo-specific” might be considered a “straw man” position

- Objection may be overcome with arguments and data to show validity and value of targeting a particular domain or biomarker-defined subgroup

Thomas Laughgren, MD (former FDA Psychiatry head), ISCTM 2014
3. Messaging

“… NIMH will be re-orienting its research away from DSM categories.”

Search: ‘Insel transforming diagnosis’
US mental-health agency’s push for basic research has slashed support for clinical trials

Analysis reveals that the number of clinical trials funded by the National Institute of Mental Health has fallen by 45% since the agency began to focus on the biological roots of disease.

Sara Reardon
13 June 2017
Messaging challenges (2): Misunderstanding

Rethinking Mental-Health Studies

Back to Basics
The NIMH has begun to emphasize basic research on the biological mechanisms underlying mental disorders, rather than applied research on specific illnesses.

- Percentage of funding
  - Basic research
  - Applied research

Messaging and encouraging change

• Spend time to create simple (tweetable?) messages
• Get thought leaders on board before launch
• Emphasize continuity with current approaches
• Prioritize crosswalks with current practices, even if this means phasing in the main goals
• Try to create/develop early successes to use as exemplars
• Be prepared for sustained engagement with critics